NO ON 43

LOCAL TAXPAYER DECEPTION ACT



KNOW THE FACTS

PROP 43 LIMITS VOTERS' ABILITY TO FUND ESSENTIAL LOCAL SERVICES

AND GIVES EVEN MORE POWER TO CORPORATIONS

WHAT PROPOSITION 43 DOES

■ PROP 43 MAKES IT HARDER TO FUND CRITICAL, LIFESAVING LOCAL SERVICES

Prop 43 makes it harder for communities to come together to provide critical funding to support fire departments and wildfire prevention, fix local roads, staff our local schools, or backfill federal health care cuts.

■ PROP 43 GIVES CORPORATIONS MORE POWER

Corporations already have too much power in our elections. Prop 43 gives them even more. When voters try to pass local ballot measures to make corporations pay their fair share, the corporations would only need one-third of the vote to block them. Prop 43 protects the powerful few at everyone else's expense.

■ CALIFORNIA FAMILIES ARE ALREADY STRETCHED TO THE BREAKING POINT

If Prop 43 had been the law, California communities would have lost nearly $3 billion a year in funding for firefighters, 911 response, childcare, homelessness solutions, street repair, parks, and libraries.

VOTING NO ON PROPOSITION 43 PROTECTS YOUR VOTE, PROTECTS MAJORITY RULE, AND KEEPS POWER WHERE IT BELONGS: WITH YOU AND YOUR NEIGHBORS.

NO ON 43



PROP 43 SILENCES THE VOICES OF VOTERS

Right now, local voters decide how to fund their own communities by majority vote. **Under Prop 43, these voter-approved measures would have failed:**

LA FIRES

Two months before the Palisades and Eaton fires, LA County voters approved $152 million a year for firefighters, engines, and helicopters. Under Prop 43, this measure would have failed.

SAN FRANCISCO

61% of San Francisco voters approved $62 million a year to raise teacher pay and keep teachers in the city's public schools. Under Prop 43, this measure would have failed.

SAN DIEGO

65% of San Diego voters approved a hotel tax paid by tourists, $82 million a year for homelessness services and road repair. Under Prop 43, this measure would have failed.

SONOMA

After the Tubbs and Kincade fires, 61% of voters in Sonoma County approved $60 million a year for wildfire prevention, brush clearing, and emergency response. Under Prop 43, this measure would have failed.

LA COUNTY

58% of LA County voters approved over $600 million a year to get people off the streets and into housing. Under Prop 43, this measure would have failed.

ALAMEDA

64% of Alameda County voters approved $150 million a year for child care and the pediatric trauma center at Children's Hospital Oakland. Under Prop 43, this measure would have failed.

SANTA CRUZ

60% of Santa Cruz County voters approved funding for wildfire prevention, clean water, and beach protection. Under Prop 43, this measure would have failed.

FREMONT

65% of voters in the Washington Township Health Care District approved $13 million a year for their local hospital. Under Prop 43, this measure would have failed.

FIREFIGHTERS, NURSES, TEACHERS, SCHOOL EMPLOYEES, HOUSING ADVOCATES, ENVIRONMENTAL, & COMMUNITY GROUPS

OPPOSE PROPOSITION 43

VOTE NO ON 43

NOPROP43CA.COM

Ad Paid for by No on Prop 43: Stop the Local Taxpayer Deception Act, sponsored by Labor Organizations.
Ad Committee's Top Funders:
SEIU California State Council
SEIU Local 2015
California Professional Firefighters
Funding Details at www.FPPC.ca.gov